Why Most Agencies Are Slow, Bloated, and Expensive — And What Actually Works Now

Chapman Group.ai

Intro Page: Before You Read

I spent over a decade running a marketing consulting firm — full service, full team, full process.

We worked with global brands. We built strategies, staffed projects, scaled teams. And when I sold that company, I signed a ten-year non-compete.

That time is up.

Since then, I've watched the industry change — but not evolve.

The tools have advanced. The technology is here. The need for speed, clarity, and systems has never been greater.

And yet... most agencies are still operating like it's 2011.

This exposé isn't meant to provoke. It's meant to show what I've seen from both sides — and what I've rebuilt to fix it.

If you've ever felt like you were doing the work *after* hiring the "experts," you're not alone.

This is what's broken. And what actually works now.

Steve Chapman
Founder, ChapmanGroup.ai

✍️ Section 1: Why They're Slow

(And Why They Stay That Way)

I don't say this as an outsider.
I ran one of those firms.

Years ago, I led a national marketing consulting firm. We served Fortune 500s and well-known mid-market brands across tourism, consumer goods, tech, and services. Strategy decks, full-service teams, six-figure retainers — the whole machine.

So when I say most agencies and consultants are slow, I don't mean lazy.
I mean the model was built for slowness — and most of them still haven't retooled for speed.

🧱 Why the Slowdown Still Happens

🪜 Multi-Layer Approvals & Account Teams

The structure looks impressive on the org chart: strategist, creative lead, PM, AE, insights analyst. But for a client? Every layer adds friction.

- You explain your goal to a strategist
- Who briefs a creative lead
- Who delegates to a junior
- Who waits for internal review
- Then someone schedules a meeting to get your input

By the time it loops back to you, a week's passed — and nothing's live.

🌀 Discovery Phase as a Billing Strategy

Old model: Fly out for a discovery session, run workshops, gather feedback, reframe it as insight. Charge $25k+ for the "strategic foundation."

Now? That same clarity can be uncovered in 90 minutes over Zoom and 3 days of async work — if you know what you're doing.

But the legacy model persists because it creates billable cushion. The work doesn't really start until month two. Meanwhile, you're paying to hear your own words in a PowerPoint.

👶 Junior Talent, Senior Rates

Most agencies pitch with their senior team — then delegate to junior staff to preserve margins. It's not personal. It's math.

But what happens?

- Junior staff fumble positioning
- You give more feedback than they expected
- Revisions pile up
- Internal rework starts
- Deadlines slip

You end up being the strategist and the client.

📊 PowerPoint = Deliverable

Back when strategy was harder to visualize and impossible to simulate, a good deck felt like an outcome.

Now? It's table stakes.

But many firms still deliver PDF decks as if they're shippable assets — not realizing that most clients need a working system, not a clever 50-pager.

You don't scale from slides.

🕳 Projects Sold Before They're Scoped

Here's a secret most clients never see:
A lot of big-name agencies sell deals before they staff them.

You get a yes, and they scramble behind the scenes to assign talent, define deliverables, and buy themselves time through “kickoff calls.”

That’s not strategy. That’s scrambling.

🧠 Why It Was Built That Way

Before Zoom, AI, async tools, or Notion boards — strategy was slow because it had to be.

- In-person discovery meant booking travel, planning sessions, transcribing notes
- PowerPoints were the best we had to explain non-linear ideas
- “Entertaining the client” was part of the sale — steak dinners, pitch theatre
- Every idea had to flow through print, media, or dev teams to ship
- Margin came from headcount — not outcomes

And because it used to work, many firms haven’t changed a thing — even though the tools, tech, and tempo of business have evolved entirely.

⚠️ The Result?

You're paying for the wrong decade.
And you're losing speed while they maintain process.

✍️ Section 2: Why They're Bloated

(And Why It Still Pays to Look Busy)

I've seen this up close.
The bloat isn't an accident — it's the business model.

In the old agency world I came from, complexity signaled value. Clients were reassured by long team lists, layered meetings, and multiple specialists per deliverable.

The bigger the project felt, the more justified the price.

That logic hasn't changed — even if the market has.

🧱 Why the Bloat Persists

👥 Every Client Gets a Full Team (Even If They Don't Need It)

You don't just get a strategist. You get a strategist, a creative director, a UX lead, a content planner, a project manager, and an account exec — even if the project only needs one clear brain and a system.

This isn't about delivery. It's about optics — and billing.

Every added name on the team sheet means another billable line item. You're funding their margin, not your momentum.

🧩 Redundant Roles, Repackaged

In theory, specialization adds clarity. In practice, it creates overlap and confusion:

- A brand strategist outlines the message
- A comms planner reframes it
- A UX lead tweaks it again for screens
- A content strategist adjusts the tone for web

That's four people doing what one strategic operator could handle — if they were trained to think in systems instead of silos.

This kind of team layout isn't efficient — it's expensive noise.

🏢 Internal Status Meetings You Pay For

The more people on the project, the more internal meetings required to keep them aligned.

And those syncs? You're billed for them.

You're not just paying for outcomes. You're paying for process — the churn, the check-ins, the revision huddles, the 10 a.m. Tuesday "quick regroup."

That overhead doesn't move your brand forward. It keeps the machine spinning.

🔁 No Templates, No Reuse, No Leverage

Most agencies rebuild from zero — every single time.

- Every email funnel starts on a blank doc
- Every campaign has its own slide deck
- Every messaging hierarchy is "bespoke"

They don't reuse systems. They don't productize what works. Because the longer it takes, the more they earn.

But in 2025, starting from scratch is waste — not craftsmanship.

🧃 Fragile Workflows That Rely on People, Not Systems

Everything depends on live coordination:

- Copy waits on design
- Design waits on strategy
- Strategy waits on feedback
- Everyone waits on each other

Nothing flows. Everything clogs.

Instead of using tools that move autonomously — like automations, async workflows, or modular frameworks — legacy firms run on availability and alignment.

If one person's out sick, the whole thing stalls.

🧠 Why It Was Built That Way

Agencies evolved in a different era:

- Projects were big, expensive, and slow-moving
- In-person workshops, pitch decks, and retained teams were standard
- Clients expected a whole cast of roles — it felt premium
- Strategy was hard to visualize, so bloat became the packaging

And when every deliverable took months, it made sense to have big teams.
But the infrastructure stuck around, even as the tech and tempo evolved.

Today? You don't need 8 people to get to clarity.
You need one — with a system, not a silo.

⚠️ The Result?

The client doesn't get speed.
They get staff.
They get overhead.
They get meetings.
They get noise.
And at the end? They're still asking:
"So... what are we actually doing next?"

✍️ Section 3: Why They're Expensive

(And Why That Price Rarely Buys Progress)

When an agency quotes $85,000 for a website or $25k/month for "strategy," clients assume it must be worth it.
After all, look how many people are involved.

That's the trap.
The price isn't tied to output.
It's tied to overhead, inefficiency, and the illusion of complexity.

And when you've worked inside these firms — as I have — you know exactly what drives the cost.
It's not leverage. It's legacy.

💸 Where the Cost Really Comes From

🏢 You're Funding Their Overhead

Every retainer, every project fee, every "blended rate" — it's built to cover their real expense: the building, the layers of management, and the team they can't afford to leave idle.

- You're paying for their bench
- Their pipeline gaps
- Their forecasting errors
- Their internal meetings
- Their reputation-maintaining polish

The margins are protected. The outcome? Optional.

🧑‍🏫 Pitched by Seniors, Built by Juniors

You meet with the founders. You're pitched by the partner.
But the work is done by the newest, cheapest person they can bill.

It’s not bait-and-switch.
It’s baked into the model.

Seniors are closers. Juniors are the margin.
And the more junior hands touch the work, the more time it takes — and the more “oversight” you’re charged for to fix it.

🪜 Time-Based Pricing Punishes Efficiency

Most agencies don’t charge for value created. They charge for time spent.

So what happens when you bring clarity to the table? When you move fast?
You become a margin threat.

Their incentive isn’t to build the right system quickly.
It’s to stay billable — and stretch the deliverables to fit the retainer.

Efficiency becomes risk.
And simplicity becomes underbilling.

🛠 Custom Everything (Even When It’s Not Needed)

They’ll say it’s “bespoke.” But it’s not always better — just slower.

- Custom site architecture for a 5-page website
- Rewritten brand values when the old ones were fine
- Fresh content strategy with zero performance data

Why? Because frameworks don’t sell at $200/hour.
And efficiency doesn’t justify a retainer.

So they rebuild everything — even the parts that don’t need rebuilding.
And you pay to watch it happen.

📊 Reports Over Results

To justify the cost, most firms will flood you with metrics:

- Decks
- Recaps
- Post-mortems
- KPIs on vanity indicators

But when you ask the real question —

“What changed for us this quarter?”
—you’ll hear a story, not a number.

The price is high because the signal-to-noise ratio is low.
You're not paying for leverage. You're paying for motion.

🧠 Why It Was Built That Way

In the pre-AI, pre-no-code, pre-automation world, high cost made sense:

- Teams had to be staffed manually
- Nothing could be reused at scale
- Dev cycles were long, and media buys were expensive
- Agencies carried real production costs and passed them on

Back then, price was a proxy for complexity.
But now, many of those costs are gone — and yet the pricing structure hasn’t moved an inch.

They still quote as if it’s 2010.
But your business needs results in 2025.

⚠️ The Result?

The cost isn’t in what they deliver.
It’s in how long it takes them to deliver it — and how many people need to be in the room when they do.

You didn’t pay for growth.
You paid for a process that protects theirs.

✍️ Section 4: Why They Push Retainers

(And Why It Benefits Them More Than You)

A retainer sounds like stability.
What it really is — for most agencies — is insurance.

Not for your outcomes.
For their revenue.

And again — I've pushed retainers. I've pitched them. I've structured them for enterprise accounts with six-figure scopes. I know the internal math. I know how they're framed.
And I know how often they fail to deliver anything meaningful past month two.

🔁 Why Retainers Are So Popular (For Them)

📅 Retainers Smooth Their Cashflow — Not Your Results

An agency or consultant isn't selling clarity.
They're selling calendar space.

Retainers let them forecast. Let them staff. Let them fill next quarter's gap with this quarter's signature.

It makes their business more predictable.
But yours? Still chaotic.

Because the deliverables aren't locked.
The wins aren't guaranteed.
And the urgency disappears the moment the payment goes on autopilot.

🧭 They Lock You Into a Calendar — Not a Roadmap

Most retainers are built like this:

- X hours per month

- Y calls
- Z “deliverables” based on availability

But what happens when you need something *outside* the scope?
Or when your business moves faster than their schedule?

You either:

- Wait
- Pay more
- Or get told, “That’s a great idea for next quarter”

You’re not on a growth plan.
You’re on a pacing plan — and it’s not designed around your peak season or your pressure points.

🕳 Time Drains to Fill the Monthly Hours

When an agency knows they’re being paid whether or not something ships, there’s a subtle shift:

- Brainstorming expands
- Strategy gets discussed but not applied
- Creative gets "explored"
- Timelines stretch just far enough to justify another cycle

This isn’t fraud. It’s just incentive misalignment.

A slow burn looks the same on the invoice as a sprint.
And no one rushes when the retainer clock is always ticking.

🧃 Scope Becomes Soft

With retainers, boundaries blur:

- What’s included?
- What’s out of scope?
- What’s “strategic advising” vs. “executional support”?

Every month becomes a negotiation — not a result.
And you lose clarity on what, exactly, you're paying for.

Suddenly, your "marketing partner" becomes a standing Zoom call, not a strategic operator.
And the biggest cost? You don't even realize how little is shipping.

🧱 Retainers Inhibit Speed. Full Stop.

If you've ever felt like things move faster *before* you signed the retainer, it's not your imagination.

- Pre-sale = urgency
- Post-signature = maintenance mode

There's no built-in forcing function to get to results.
No end-date.
No clear milestone.
Just another month, another sync, another slide.

And if your numbers stall?
You'll be told to "stay the course" — because long cycles justify the retainer's existence.

🧠 Why This Was Normalized

In legacy agency life, retainers were the holy grail:

- Predictable revenue
- Easier hiring
- Easier pipeline planning
- Higher margins

And at scale, it worked — because media cycles were quarterly, brand refreshes were annual, and execution took time.

But now?

- Strategy can be clarified in days

- Funnels can be launched in weeks
- Copy, design, and automations can be shipped in a sprint

So why are you still locked into 6-month roadmaps?

Because the retainer isn't a solution for you.
It's stability for them.

⚠️ The Result?

You're not buying speed.
You're buying access.
And you're not stuck because you're unclear — you're stuck because the model is.

What if you didn’t need a partner for six months?
What if you needed one good sprint, with real clarity — and the system to run with?

✍️ Section 5: What Happens Inside Their Walls

(Why Even Good Agencies Get Stuck in Their Own Process)

From the outside, it looks like a high-output machine.
From the inside, it's a tangle of Slack threads, slide decks, and internal huddles.

If you've ever worked with a firm that seemed polished during the pitch and scattered during delivery — it's not just you.

And again — I've seen this firsthand. I helped build those machines.
The late-night fire drills. The rotating teams. The "what exactly are we doing for them again?" moments two months into a project.

Even the best agencies are vulnerable to this.
Because the real issue isn't the talent.
It's the structure.

🧱 What Actually Happens After You Sign

📉 Strategy is a Deck, Not a System

Most agencies hand you "strategy" in the form of a slide deck.
It's tidy. On-brand. Conceptually smart.

But it's not:

- A positioning you can drop into a headline
- A funnel you can plug into an email series
- A system you can run on next quarter

Strategy becomes abstract — not actionable.

It's packaged for approval, not application.

🎭 Creative and Strategy Don't Talk

In theory, strategy informs creative.
In practice? They run on parallel tracks.

- The strategist frames the insight
- The creative team rewrites it to “feel right”
- UX adjusts the flow for “the experience”
- No one checks if the core message survived

So you end up with work that’s beautiful — and disconnected.
Clear internally. Confusing externally.

🔄 Teams Rotate. No One Owns the Outcome.

In most agency environments:

- Team A pitches the work
- Team B launches it
- Team C maintains it
- Then someone quits
- Then it’s “in transition”

What happens to your project?
It lives in handoff hell — where everyone is briefed, but no one is accountable.

You’re not buying alignment.
You’re buying continuity theater.

📊 Internal Work Becomes the Priority

Here’s the brutal truth: once you sign, your work becomes one of many.

The agency’s pipeline doesn’t stop.
Their pitch machine keeps going.
And the internal bandwidth gets stretched across:

- New business proposals
- Rehearsals for pitch week
- Upsell decks
- Client renewals
- Fires from other accounts

So even though you’re paying top dollar, you're rarely the top priority.

The work moves when they have capacity — not when you need results.

🪞 Your Voice Becomes the Brief

You’ll start to notice something strange:

- The copy sounds like what you already wrote
- The “strategy” repeats back what you said in the kickoff
- The positioning uses your own phrases — just formatted

Because the internal team didn’t build clarity.
They translated your clarity into “agency language.”
Which means: you’re still the strategist.

And you’re not just funding the work.
You’re quietly doing it.

🧠 Why It Works (Until It Doesn’t)

In the past, this model survived because:

- Clients were used to long timelines
- Nobody expected clear data or direct attribution
- "Creative integrity" was a buffer for slow cycles
- Internal politics could be disguised as polish

But today?

Speed is visible.
Systems are transparent.
And if you’re not getting results in 30–60 days, it’s not a client problem.
It’s a structure problem.

⚠️ The Result?

You think you hired a team.
What you really got was a rotating cast, each solving their own small piece of the puzzle — while you try to hold the vision together.

And the more you step in to fix it,
the more you become the project manager you thought you were hiring.

✍️ Section 6: Why It Feels Like You're Doing the Work

(And Why You're Not Wrong to Feel That Way)

You hired help.
But you're still writing the brief, pushing the timeline, and asking what's next.

At a certain point — usually around month two — the fatigue sets in:

- You're chasing clarity
- You're managing pace
- You're driving urgency

And instead of feeling supported, you feel like the most informed person in the room — constantly pulling the project forward while the people you hired send decks and wait for feedback.

This isn't impostor syndrome.
This is structural inversion.

🔁 What Actually Happens (That You Didn't Sign Up For)

📋 You Write the Brief — Then They Reformat It

Kickoff calls often start with:

"Tell us about your business..."

Which means: the strategy isn't being built. It's being extracted from you.

And that insight you drop?
That's the headline.
That's the positioning.
That's the first slide in their deck.

They're not bringing clarity.
They're formatting yours — and billing for it.

🧠 You Catch What They Miss

You’re reviewing mockups and pointing out UX dead-ends.
You're flagging typos, catching misaligned CTAs, spotting logic breaks in copy.

And the worst part? You feel like you *have* to — because no one else is seeing it.

Suddenly, you're the strategist, QA, and PM — while the agency tells you they’re “heads down this week.”

🧭 You Drive the Timeline

The updates don’t come unless you ask.
The urgency drops the moment the contract is signed.
The calendar stalls.

So you do what most founders and operators do:
You build a timeline yourself.
You set milestones.
You become the driver — because if you don’t, nothing moves.

They’re waiting on direction.
You’re waiting on progress.
And somehow, the only person sweating the deadline is you.

📊 You Translate the Strategy to Something Executable

What you’re handed is a brand pyramid, a “north star,” and a set of personality traits.

But what you need is:

- Headlines that convert
- Emails that activate
- Funnels that drive behavior
- A roadmap you can hand off to your team

Instead, you spend your weekend translating theory into assets — so something actually ships.

🧱 You Realize They’re Mirroring You

The longer the engagement goes, the clearer it becomes:
They’re not leading. They’re reacting.

- You set the direction
- You define the goals
- You fill in the gaps
- You make the final calls

Not because you want to micromanage — but because no one else is.

You thought you hired momentum.
You ended up hiring someone to repackage your own insight.

🧠 Why This Keeps Happening

It’s not always bad intent.
Most teams want to do good work.

But when the structure isn’t built for ownership, the client ends up carrying the weight:

- Strategy is too vague to steer real execution
- Creative is too fragmented to hold a single vision
- Timelines are too loose to create urgency
- Retainers are too comfortable to spark innovation

And when everyone’s waiting for alignment, you become the system.

⚠️ The Result?

The output may be labeled “agency work.”
But if you're the strategist, the driver, and the closer — then you're the engine.

You didn’t just overpay.
You subsidized their inefficiency with your own energy.

✍️ Section 7: What You Actually Need Instead

(Fewer People. Faster Cycles. Sharper Outcomes.)

Most businesses don't need a marketing partner.
They need a moment of clarity — and a system they can run with.

You don't need twelve months of exploration.
You don't need three strategists, two PMs, and a Figma wall of mood boards.
You don't need a slow-drip retainer designed to "align around the vision."

You need:

- Strategic clarity in week one
- Execution that starts in week two
- Assets that reflect your positioning — not just your industry
- A system that keeps working after the agency walks away

This is what operators are hiring for now.
Not headcount. Not process.
Leverage.

✅ The Actual Requirements of a Modern Growth System

🧭 One Strategist Who Can Think, Write, and Build

You don't need a team of specialists when one person knows how to:

- Clarify the offer
- Write the copy
- Build the funnel
- Align the system

That used to take five people.
Now, it takes one person with deep logic and the right tools.

🔧 Templates Over Theory

You don't need a mission statement. You need:

- Plug-and-play funnels
- Decision logic for messaging
- Modular pages that convert
- Pre-mapped CRM flows

Anything you can't ship in 30 days isn't strategy. It's billing.

🤖 AI and Automation as Force Multipliers

The tools exist now to:

- Generate high-quality brand assets
- Write email sequences with prompts
- Personalize landing pages at scale
- Automate follow-up and lead nurture

If your team isn't using these tools, you're paying manual rates for replaceable tasks.

🧠 Strategic Insight You Can Reuse

Strategy isn't just for slide decks.
Done right, it becomes:

- Sales copy
- Email hooks
- Ad targeting logic
- Lead magnets
- CTAs
- Content frameworks

If your strategy doesn't drive asset creation, it's not strategy. It's theater.

🧱 A Self-Sustaining System

You don’t need a retainer.
You need something you can run — in-season, out-of-season, or on your own terms.

The ideal marketing system:

- Generates demand
- Clarifies value
- Captures leads
- Converts traffic
- Surfaces ROI

All without you having to explain yourself every 30 days.

🧠 This Is Why I Left the Agency Model

I didn’t want to sell time.
I wanted to build systems.

Systems that help small teams compete with bigger ones.
Systems that reduce drag instead of adding it.
Systems that turn insight into growth — without needing five layers of approval to go live.

What used to take an entire agency
— now takes one person,
with the right toolkit,
focused on your outcome.

⚠️ The Final Truth?

You’re not crazy for wanting speed.
You’re not wrong for rejecting complexity.
You’re not “too small” to deserve a system.

You just need strategy that ships.
And someone who’s done it — under pressure, in the field, with real brands.

✍️ Section 8: Why They Recommend What They Sell

(And Why It's Hard to Get Truly Objective Advice)

Even when you find a sharp agency or consultant, there's a built-in problem few will name:
Their recommendations are often shaped by their own service menu.

🧱 How The Incentive Creeps In

🎯 Strategy Framed to Fit Their Capabilities

When a firm specializes in media buying, SEO, content, or social — the strategy will lean toward those channels.

If they sell media, you'll need media.

If they sell SEO, your content gaps will become the priority.

If they sell websites, a redesign will become urgent.

And the result?

The diagnosis is subtly aligned with what they already know how to deliver.

🧩 Recommendations Designed for Retainers

The more complex the strategy appears, the more justification for long-term execution contracts:

"Phase 1: Discovery"
"Phase 2: Buildout"
"Phase 3: Optimization"
"Phase 4: Ongoing Retainer"

What starts as strategy quickly becomes a pipeline of billable work — shaped less by your highest ROI path, and more by how they fill their delivery calendar.

💼 Solution Packages Drive Scope

Many agencies operate from pre-built service packages. Once you're in the funnel, the recommendation tends to follow:

- Existing templates
- Pre-scoped deliverables
- Familiar fulfillment models

True customization becomes limited, because deviation erodes their efficiency — even if your business would benefit from a different mix of moves.

🧠 Why This Happens (Even With Good Intentions)

- Teams default to what they know how to execute
- Predictable scopes protect their internal staffing
- Selling strategy alone is harder than selling execution
- Many shops have never been trained to think vendor-neutral

⚠️ The Result?

You don't always get *the best strategy.*
You get *the most sellable strategy.*

The work isn't wrong — it's just not fully independent.

And when the advisor also sells fulfillment, their recommendations can't help but be colored by what they stand to gain.

✅ The Model I Rebuilt Instead:

I don't sell execution.
I don't staff fulfillment.
I don't upsell retainers.

That means every recommendation I make is fully detached from delivery.
I have no incentive to complicate the plan, inflate the scope, or prescribe work that benefits me on the backend.

You get one thing:
A clear, objective, fully aligned roadmap — designed for your growth, not my pipeline.

🚩 Bonus: 5 Red Flags Before You Sign

(How to Know You're About to Buy Time, Not Progress)

Most bad agency experiences don't start with bad intentions.
They start with missed signals — hidden in plain sight.

Here's how to spot the signs before you spend the next 3 months chasing deliverables and rewriting strategy decks yourself.

---

1. They Can't Show You a System

"We tailor everything to the client."

That sounds bespoke. But it often means:
No framework. No decision model. No repeatable structure.

If they can't show you:

- How they think
- How they execute
- What the system actually *does*

...you're not buying expertise. You're buying opinions.

---

2. They Talk More About Process Than Outcomes

Ask what they'll deliver in the first 14 days.

If you hear:

- "We'll kick off discovery..."
- "We like to co-create the brief..."
- "We'll start with a messaging audit..."

You're about to pay for thinking time — not building time.

Ask them what's live by week 2. If they can't answer, walk.

---

3. The Team Pitch and Delivery Team Are Different

If the pitch includes partners or directors...
...but the day-to-day will be “our talented junior team”...

That’s not leverage. That’s margin protection.

Unless you’re hiring a training program, make sure the person you’re talking to is the one doing the work.

---

4. They Can't Explain What Happens After Month One

Most firms can describe month one.
Very few can describe month two without vagueness.

Ask:

- “What will we ship by the end of month one?”
- “What changes by month two?”
- “What does momentum look like in this model?”

If they say, “It depends,” or worse, “We’ll know more once we get in,” — you’re signing a blank check.

---

5. There’s No Exit Plan

If you stop paying, what breaks?

If the answer is:

- “Well, this requires ongoing management...”
- “We’d recommend staying on retainer to maintain...”
- “It’s not a set-it-and-forget-it system...”

That’s code for: you’ll always need us.

You should be building independence — not dependence.

💵 Comparison Table:

ChapmanGroup.ai vs. Traditional Agency

Feature/Value	Traditional Agency	ChapmanGroup.ai
Team Size	4–7 people	1 (Senior Strategist + Systems Builder)
Who Does the Work	Juniors, passed through layers	One point of contact — does the thinking + doing
Time to Launch	6–12 weeks to start shipping	10–21 days to working funnel/assets
Strategy Deliverable	Brand pyramid / deck / PDF	Executable system with copy, funnel, UX logic
Asset Ownership	Often held or delayed	Yours — day one, fully transferable
Communication Model	Status calls, email chains, layers	Direct async, high-signal, minimal friction
Monthly Cost	$10,000–$25,000+	Flat project rate or audit-based engagement
Incentive Model	Billable hours, time = money	Speed, leverage, outcome
Ongoing Dependence	Retainer lock-in	System = independence
Tech Stack / Automation	Optional, often outsourced	Built-in: AI, no-code, CRM, analytics
Copy & Funnel Creation	Siloed, often misaligned	Integrated, insight-led, tested in the real world
Total Investment (60 days)	$20K–$50K+	~$8K–$28K avg (project-based or audit→build)

📄 What to Do Next

Still stuck in a system that moves too slow?

If this felt familiar — if it named what you've been experiencing but couldn't articulate — then you already know the real problem isn't effort.

It's structure.

Here's what you can do next:

✅ Request a Free Audit

No sales call. No fluff.
Just a teardown of what's working, what's not, and how to fix it.

→ [Start Your Free Audit]

🧠 See the Case Studies

Actual systems. Not just strategy decks.
Built in days, not quarters.

→ [View Proof]

💡 Or just keep this PDF

No drip sequence. No emails.
If the timing's right, you'll know where to find us.

ChapmanGroup.ai — Built for operators, not org charts.